1		STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE
2		PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
3		
4	July 2, 2020	- 10:14 a.m.
5	[Re.	mote Hearing conducted via Webex]
6	DE.	DE 19-120
7	KE:	LIBERTY UTILITIES (GRANITE STATE ELECTRIC) CORP. d/b/a LIBERTY
8		UTILITIES: 2019 Least Cost Integrated Resource Plan.
9		integrated Resource Fran.
L 0	PRESENT:	Chairwoman Dianne Martin, Presiding Cmsr. Kathryn M. Bailey
L1		Cmsr. Michael S. Giaimo
L2		Jody Carmody, Clerk
L 3		Eric Wind, PUC Remote Hearing Host
L 4	APPEARANCES:	Reptg. Liberty Utilities (Granite State Electric) Corp. d/b/a
L 5		Liberty Utilities: Michael J. Sheehan, Esq.
L 6		Reptg. Residential Ratepayers:
L 7		Christa Shute, Esq. Pradip Chattopadhyay, Asst. Cons. Adv.
L 8		Office of Consumer Advocate
L 9		Reptg. PUC Staff: Brian D. Buckley, Esq.
20		Richard Chagnon, Asst. Dir./Electric Kurt Demmer, Electric Division
21		Ruit Demmei, Electic Division
22		
23	Court Rep	oorter: Steven E. Patnaude, LCR No. 52
2 4		

1			
2		INDEX	
3			PAGE NO.
4	WITNESS PANEL:	ROBERT JOHNSON, JR. JOEL RIVERA	
5		ANTHONY STRABONE HEATHER M. TEBBETTS	
6		KURT DEMMER	
7	Direct examination	by Mr. Sheehan	8, 13
8	Direct examination	by Mr. Buckley	12, 19
9	Interrogatories by	Cmsr. Bailey	22
10	Interrogatories by	Cmsr. Giaimo	23
11	Interrogatories by	Chairwoman Martin	28
12			
13			
14		* * *	
15			
16			
17	CLOSING STATEMENTS	BY:	
18		Ms. Shute	30
19		Mr. Buckley	31
20		Mr. Sheehan	31
21			
22			
23			
24			

1			
2		EXHIBITS	
3	EXHIBIT NO.	DESCRIPTION	PAGE NO.
4	1	Revised Testimony of Robert Johnson, Jr., Joel Rivera,	premarked
5		Anthony Strabone, and Heather M. Tebbetts,	
6		including attachments (12-04-19)	
7	2	PUC Staff Testimony of	premarked
8	۷	Kurt Demmer $(01-23-20)$	premarked
9	3		premarked
10			r
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			

PROCEEDING

2.

1.3

2.1

2.2

CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: We are here this morning in Docket DE 19-120, for a hearing regarding the Liberty Utilities, Incorporated Petition for Approval of its Least Cost Integrated Resource Plan. A Settlement Agreement has been filed.

We need to make the necessary findings because this is a remote hearing.

As the Chairwoman of the Public

Utilities Commission, I find that due to the

State of Emergency declared by the Governor as a result of the COVID-19 pandemic and in accordance with the Governor's Emergency Order Number 12, pursuant to Executive Order 2020-04, this public body is authorized to meet electronically.

Please note that there is no physical location to observe and listen contemporaneously to this hearing, which was authorized pursuant to the Governor's Emergency Order. However, in accordance with the Emergency Order, I am confirming that we are utilizing Webex for this electronic hearing. All members of the Commission have the ability to communicate

contemporaneously during this hearing through this platform. And the public has access to contemporaneously listen and, if necessary, participate.

1.3

2.2

We previously gave notice to the public of the necessary information for accessing the hearing in the Order of Notice. If anybody has a problem during the hearing, please call (603)271-2431. In the event the public is unable to access the hearing, the hearing will be adjourned and rescheduled.

Okay. Let's start by taking roll call attendance of the Commission. When each Commissioner states their presence, please also state where you're located. And, if anybody else is with you, please identify them.

My name is Dianne Martin. I am the
Chairwoman of the Public Utilities Commission. I
am located at my home, in Deerfield, New
Hampshire. And no one is with me.

Commissioner Bailey.

CMSR. BAILEY: Good morning. I'm

Commissioner Kathryn Bailey. I'm at my home.

And no one is with me.

```
1
                   CMSR. GIAIMO: Good morning. Michael
 2
         Giaimo, Commissioner. I'm in Concord, New
 3
         Hampshire. And no one is with me.
 4
                   CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. Thank you.
 5
         Let's take appearances, starting with
 6
         Mr. Sheehan.
 7
                   MR. SHEEHAN: Good morning. Mike
         Sheehan, for Liberty Utilities (Granite State
 9
         Electric) Corp.
10
                   CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Ms. Shute, sorry to
11
         interrupt. But, Mr. Buckley, I see that Mr.
12
         Below is not here. Is he on the phone?
                   MR. BUCKLEY: That is correct. He's
1.3
14
         not on the phone, and may not actually be
15
         attending this hearing today. He communicated
16
         with me last night that is sick, and may not be
         able to make it. And it looks like he has not
17
18
         made it.
19
                   CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. Great.
20
         Thank you. Then, we'll go to Ms. Shute.
2.1
                   MS. SHUTE:
                               Thank you. Christa Shute,
2.2
         Staff Attorney for the Office of the Consumer
23
         Advocate, on behalf of residential ratepayers.
24
         Also in attendance is Dr. Pradip Chattopadhyay.
```

He will not be a witness today and is just in attendance.

Thank you.

1.3

2.1

2.2

CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. Thank you. And Mr. Buckley.

MR. BUCKLEY: Good morning, Madam Chair and Commissioners Bailey and Giaimo. Brian Buckley, representing the Staff of the Commission. And with me today, though not physically, are Kurt Demmer and Richard Chagnon of the Staff's Electric Division.

CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: All right. Thank you.

I have Exhibits 1, 2, 3 have been prefiled and premarked for identification. Is there anything else we need to talk about before we have the witnesses sworn in?

MR. SHEEHAN: Just one thing. By way of explanation, Exhibit 1 is called a "Revised" filing. The addition from that and the original filing was to remove the confidential markings. The document is exactly the same, which is why we didn't mark both documents.

CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. Thank you

```
for that clarification.
 1
 2
                   All right. Then, let's proceed to
 3
         swear in the witnesses. Mr. Patnaude.
 4
                    (Whereupon Robert Johnson, Jr.,
 5
                    Joel Rivera, Anthony Strabone,
 6
                   Heather M. Tebbetts, and Kurt Demmer
 7
                   were duly sworn by the Court Reporter.)
                   CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. Mr. Sheehan.
 9
                   MR. SHEEHAN: Thank you.
                  ROBERT JOHNSON, JR., SWORN
10
11
                       JOEL RIVERA, SWORN
12
                    ANTHONY STRABONE, SWORN
13
                  HEATHER M. TEBBETTS, SWORN
14
                      KURT DEMMER, SWORN
                       DIRECT EXAMINATION
15
16
    BY MR. SHEEHAN:
17
         I'll start with Ms. Tebbetts. Would you please
18
         identify yourself, who you work for, and what
19
         role you played in this docket?
20
         (Tebbetts) My name is Heather Tebbetts. And I
21
         work for Liberty Utilities Service Company. And
22
         I am the Manager of Rates and Regulatory Affairs,
23
         in charge of strategic and policy making. And my
24
         goal in this docket was to participate in writing
```

1 testimony with regard to the order we received in 2 2019 for our updated LCIRP. 3 Q Ms. Tebbetts, your testimony is joint testimony 4 with the other three Liberty folks, and has been 5 marked as "Exhibit 1". Do you have any 6 corrections to that testimony today? 7 (Tebbetts) I do not. Α 8 And, to the extent you are responsible for its 9 contents, do you adopt that testimony this 10 morning as your sworn testimony? 11 (Tebbetts) Yes. Α 12 Thank you. Mr. Strabone, please introduce 13 yourself and your position with Liberty. 14 (Strabone) Good morning. My name is Anthony 15 Strabone. I am the Manager of Electric 16 Engineering for Liberty Utilities Service Corp. 17 I am responsible for securing engineering and 18 construction resources for the capital work plan 19 for Granite State Electric. 20 Mr. Strabone, do you have any changes to the 21 joint testimony filed by the four Liberty 22 witnesses? 23 (Strabone) I do not. Α 24 And do you adopt that testimony as your sworn

```
1
         testimony here this morning?
 2
         (Strabone) I do.
         Thank you. Mr. Rivera, please introduce
 3
 4
         yourself.
 5
         (Rivera) Hello. My name is Joel Rivera. And I'm
 6
         employed as the Manager of GIS and Electric
 7
         System Planning by Liberty Utilities Service
         Corp. And I am responsible for managing the
 8
 9
         Company's short- and long-term system electric
10
         planning initiatives, as well as the Company's
11
         electric and gas mapping systems.
         Mr. Rivera, did you participate in the testimony
12
         that's been marked as "Exhibit 1"?
13
14
         (Rivera) Yes.
    Α
15
         And do you have any changes that you'd like to
16
         point to this morning?
17
    Α
         (Rivera) I do not.
18
         And do you adopt that testimony as your sworn
19
         testimony here this morning?
20
         (Rivera) Yes, I do.
    Α
21
         Thank you. Finally, Mr. Johnson, please
    Q
22
         introduce yourself.
23
    Α
         (Johnson) Yes. My name is Robert Johnson.
24
         the Manager of Engineering Standards, Policies,
```

```
and Programs. And, in that position, I am
 1
 2
         responsible for overhead and underground
 3
         construction standards, also material -- electric
 4
         material requirements, also updating, and
 5
         responsible for Company policies and certain
 6
         programs.
 7
         Mr. Johnson, did you participate --
    Q
 8
                    CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Mr. Sheehan, I
         apologize for interrupting. I just wanted to ask
 9
10
         Mr. Johnson, when he does testify, if he can stay
11
         somewhat in front of his camera, I cannot
12
         actually see the front of his face when he is
13
         testifying.
14
    BY MR. SHEEHAN:
15
         Mr. Johnson, could you -- did you participate in
16
         drafting the testimony with the others that has
17
         been marked as "Exhibit 1"?
18
         (Johnson) Yes, I did.
    Α
19
         And do you have any changes you'd like to make to
20
         that testimony this morning?
21
         (Johnson) No, I don't.
    Α
22
    Q
         And do you adopt that testimony as your sworn
23
         testimony today?
24
    Α
         (Johnson) Yes, I do.
```

```
1
                   MR. SHEEHAN:
                                  Thank you. Madam
 2
         Chairwoman, the plan was for Staff to introduce
 3
         its witness, and then we will return to Ms.
 4
         Tebbetts to walk through the Settlement
 5
         Agreement.
 6
                   CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. Sounds good.
 7
         Mr. Buckley. Mr. Buckley, yes, you're on mute.
 8
                   MR. BUCKLEY: Thank you.
9
    BY MR. BUCKLEY:
10
         Mr. Demmer, can you please identify yourself and
11
         your position with the Commission?
12
         (Demmer) Coming in a little low, Brian. But I
13
         believe you said introduce myself.
14
                   My name is Kurt Demmer. I am employed
15
         as an Analyst with the Electric Division of the
16
         New Hampshire Public Utilities Commission.
17
    Q
         And can you please describe your involvement in
18
         the instant proceeding to date?
19
         (Demmer) I've been the Staff lead in this
    Α
20
         proceeding, reviewing the Petition, serving
21
         discovery, developing testimony, participating in
22
         technical sessions, and participating in
23
         settlement conferences.
24
         And the testimony you prepared, is that the
```

```
document initially filed with the Commission in
 1
 2
         the instant docket on January 23rd, and which has
 3
         now been premarked as "Exhibit 2"?
 4
         (Demmer) Yes, it is.
 5
         And do you have any corrections or updates that
 6
         you would like to make to that testimony at this
 7
         time?
 8
         (Demmer) No, I do not.
         And, to the best of your knowledge and belief,
 9
    Q
10
         were the answers presented in your testimony
11
         accurate at the time the testimony was filed?
12
         (Demmer) Yes, they were.
13
         And do you adopt those answers as your sworn
    Q
14
         testimony in this proceeding?
15
         (Demmer) Yes, I do.
    Α
16
                   MR. BUCKLEY: Thank you, Mr. Demmer.
17
         And now, I will hand it back over to the Company
18
         for a brief overview of the Settlement.
19
                    CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. Mr. Sheehan.
                    MR. SHEEHAN:
20
                                  Thank you.
21
    BY MR. SHEEHAN:
22
         Ms. Tebbetts, if you could turn to Exhibit 3, and
23
         it is titled "Settlement Agreement". Did you
24
         participate in the conversations that led to this
```

```
1
         document?
 2
         (Tebbetts) Yes.
         And, obviously, the people who have
 3
 4
         participated -- also participated included Staff,
 5
         the OCA, and Mr. Below. Is that correct?
 6
         (Tebbetts) Yes.
 7
         And the resulting Settlement Agreement,
 8
         obviously, is the Parties' mutual request to
         resolve this docket under the terms in that
 9
10
         document, is that right?
11
         (Tebbetts) Yes.
    Α
12
         If you could just walk us through the Agreement
13
         Exhibit 3, and just give us a few words on each
14
         of the material provisions, that would be
15
         appreciated.
16
         (Tebbetts) Sure. So, we'll start with the
17
         Settlement terms, in Section A, "Compliance with
18
         Order Number 26,261".
19
                    So, the Parties agree that Liberty was
20
         compliant with Order Number 26,261, which granted
21
         a partial waiver of our next LCIRP. And the
22
         Settling Parties recommend that the Commission
23
         accept our July 15th, 2019 filing as sufficient
24
         and compliant.
```

Part B is the "Resolution of the Planning Criteria Disagreement". So, the planning criteria changes were actually approved in Order Number 26,376 this past week. And in there, we acknowledge — the Parties acknowledge that any disagreements between the Parties relating to the Company's planning criteria are resolved by that Settlement Agreement that was approved in the order number I mentioned.

Section C is "Access to Company's

Manuals Site". And here, the Company is

committing to work with Staff to provide access

to the distribution electric operating procedures

through our Manuals site. And, as an update, by

September, we believe we should actually be able

to provide access to Staff for that.

Moving on to Part D, it is the "2021 LCIRP Filing Requirements". And, as part of this Agreement, we are agreeing to meet certain specifications for our next LCIRP submission that relates to the non-wire solutions that I'll discuss further.

Prior to filing the IRP, the Company is going to develop a list of planned projects to be

potential candidates for avoidance and/or deferral through the deployment of the non-wire solutions. Once those candidates are identified by Liberty, the Company is going to meet with Parties to identify one of those candidates that should be the focus of a more detailed analysis that will be provided within that 2021 LCIRP.

The analysis of the non-wire solution should consider utility system benefits, such as avoided distribution capacity costs, avoided energy costs, and avoided transmission costs.

Also, we'll include an evaluation of the demand reduction potential associated with energy efficiency and load growth curtailment, as well as anything else we find that would be necessary to include in a non-wire solution.

If for some reason the Company cannot complete this detailed analysis prior to our filing in 2021, that filing is due, I believe,

June -- no, I'm sorry, not "June", January 15th,

2021, which is about six months from now. The

Company will be permitted the opportunity to supplement its LCIRP filing with the detailed analysis when it's completed, but no longer than

six months after our initial filing.

The LCIRP will also include a document which provides a description of the planning process used to assess our non-wire solution as part of the Company's broader planning processes, and the steps taken to incorporate the non-wire solution into its planning decision to reduce or defer traditional infrastructure investment.

Parties to determine how the following issues will be addressed in our next LCIRP due in 2021. The first issue is how the risk profile evaluation for the non-wire solution was conducted; options to mitigate the identified risks; how that risk is incorporated into the planning process, which will include how the risks and costs are weighted to identify when a reduction in cost justifies the additional risk; a potential for hybrid projects; ways in which the company might attain a more detailed analysis of the benefits and costs; and, finally, how potential non-wire solutions are identified for that detailed analysis.

That is the provisions within the

```
1
         Settlement Agreement that the Parties have agreed
 2
         to.
 3
         Ms. Tebbetts, going back to the Manuals site, is
 4
         it correct that this site is something the
 5
         Company already uses to provide Staff with access
 6
         to gas documents?
 7
    Α
         (Tebbetts) Yes.
 8
         And, so, this Agreement is to basically
 9
         supplement that site with the documents described
10
         in the Settlement Agreement related to the
11
         electric side of the Company?
12
         (Tebbetts) Yes.
13
         And also, regarding the timing of the NWS
14
         project, you describe that we hope to have it in
15
         the January filing, but this allows us some more
16
         time. In fact, the Parties are meeting after
17
         this hearing to start that process of discussion
18
         to identify the NWS projects so we get going on
19
         them. Is that fair?
20
         (Tebbetts) Yes.
    Α
21
         And one question for you, Mr. Rivera. Looking at
    Q
22
         the list that Ms. Tebbetts discussed at the end
23
         there, on Page 5, Items (1) through (6), as we
24
         understand, you're the person most hands-on with
```

```
the NWS analysis. Can you give us just a brief
 1
 2
         explanation why you think it's a good idea and
 3
         worthwhile for us to address these questions?
 4
         (Rivera) Yes. I do agree that it is a good idea
 5
         and suggestion to include in our future LCIRP
 6
         filing, as I think it's important to document
 7
         these risks and challenges that it takes to do a
         successful evaluation and implementation of a
 8
         non-wire solution, you know, and how to go about
 9
10
         analyzing these different risks.
11
                                  Thank you, Mr. Rivera.
                   MR. SHEEHAN:
12
         have no further questions for the panel.
13
                    CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: All right
14
         Mr. Buckley. You're on mute.
    BY MR. BUCKLEY:
15
16
         Mr. Demmer, what was the purpose of your
17
         testimony in this docket?
18
         (Demmer) I reviewed the Company's filing for
19
         consistency with the Commission's direction in
20
         Order Number 26,261, which granted a waiver of
21
         the Company's requirement to file a full LCIRP in
22
         2019, and instead required a more limited filing.
23
         That order directed Liberty to confirm it's
24
         currently following the least cost planning
```

```
1
         process outlined in its 2016 LCIRP and to provide
 2
         copies of the adopted standard operating
 3
         procedures for employees and managers integrating
 4
         day-to-day and long-term planning consistent with
 5
         the Company's objectives of least cost planning.
 6
         And what did the Company provide pursuant to
 7
         Order Number 26,261?
 8
         (Demmer) The Company provided a number of
 9
         documents consistent in satisfaction of Order
10
                 Those documents are identified as
         26,261.
11
         appendices in Exhibit 1. My review of these
12
         documents, and subsequent discovery, found that
13
         those documents were generally in compliance with
14
         the Commission's direction in Order 26,261.
15
         Now, moving to the Settlement, are there any
16
         provisions that you think may be particularly
17
         worthwhile to highlight for the Commission?
18
         (Demmer) Yes. And I believe Mr. Sheehan had
    Α
19
         touched upon that.
20
                   At the bottom of Page 3 of the
21
         Settlement, the Company commits to working with
22
         Staff to provide access to the distribution
23
         electric operating procedures through Liberty
24
         Utilities' Manuals site. I just want to take a
```

moment to highlight the importance of this provision.

Having up-to-date Company policies, procedures, and standards greatly improves the efficiency of Staff's review of Company filings. Although Staff always has the ability to request this data per Puc Rule 306.07 at any time, Staff is not aware of when companies add, remove, or modify an operating standard or policy. Requesting such information in a docket adds to the length of time Staff needs to perform a prudence review. In addition, Staff is unaware of standards that may be relevant, unknown to Staff in order to request them.

Intranet or real-time current access to the Company's distribution standards, policies, and procedures, as the Company commits to providing in this Settlement, informs Staff of the Company's current operating criteria and decision making principles without having to conjecture if there is a procedure available or if it's up to date.

Q Thank you, Mr. Demmer. Now, finally, do you view the provisions of the Settlement you just

```
described, as well as a whole, as in the public
 1
 2
         interest and expect that they would result in
 3
         just and reasonable rates, if approved?
 4
         (Demmer) Yes.
 5
                   MR. BUCKLEY: Staff has no further
 6
         questions for the panel.
 7
                   CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. Thank you.
 8
         I understand from the Settlement Agreement that
         the Parties have agreed to forgo
 9
         cross-examination. Is that right?
10
11
                   MR. SHEEHAN: That's correct.
12
                   CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. So, there
13
         are no questions from other parties at this time,
14
         we can go to the Commissioners?
15
                    [No verbal response.]
16
                   CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. Commissioner
17
         Bailey.
18
                   CMSR. BAILEY: Thank you. I don't have
19
         very many questions.
20
    BY CMSR. BAILEY:
21
         But I understand that the original planning
22
         criteria, there was a dispute over, and you
23
         settled that in the rate case to use the criteria
24
         that most other IOUs, independently owned
```

```
utilities, in New Hampshire use. And I guess my
 1
 2
         question is, are you using those in the Salem
 3
         area or are you using the standards that you had
 4
         in place prior to agreeing to adopt the new
 5
         standards?
 6
         (Rivera) We are currently using the updated
 7
         distribution planning criteria for the Salem area
 8
         study.
 9
                   CMSR. BAILEY: Okay. I think that's
10
         all I have. Thank you.
11
                   CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Commissioner
12
         Giaimo.
13
                   CMSR. GIAIMO: I just have a few quick
14
         ones as well.
    BY CMSR. GIAIMO:
15
16
         So, Mr. Demmer talked about the bottom of Page 3,
17
         of Exhibit 3, with respect to Settlement terms.
18
         And that's "The Company commits to working with
19
         Staff to provide access to the distribution
20
         electric operating procedures through the Liberty
21
         Utilities - Manuals site."
22
                   Do we know what that means? Does that
23
         mean that -- does that mean, as policies change,
24
         the Commission will actually see those, will have
```

```
access and can see them changing in real-time?
 1
 2
         Will there be notices sent that things have
 3
         changed? Will there be passwords to access the
         site? I'm just wondering what -- has any more
 4
 5
         detail gone into what that means?
 6
         (Tebbetts) Yes. So, right now, what we're going
 7
         to do is model it after how we operate on the gas
 8
         side. As far as password protected and all of
         that, I don't have the answer to that. I do
 9
         believe it is within our intranet that we get
10
11
         access. So, there will be a level of access
12
         granted to allow Staff to be able to go into
13
         those documents.
14
                    I don't believe there's any
15
         notification of new information. But,
16
         considering that Staff will have access 24/7,
17
         they most certainly can go in and look for
18
         updated documents.
19
                   Again, on the gas side, I don't know if
20
         we provided notification on there. So, what
21
         we're looking to do is mimic there, whatever we
22
         do on our gas company to do for the electric
23
         company.
24
         But it just seems like, given the many tasks that
```

the Commission Staff has, monitoring it to see if 1 2 something has changed would be kind of onerous. 3 So, maybe in the discussions you can just kind of 4 think about what there may be, a triggering 5 device or, I don't know, weekly or monthly 6 updates or whatever. I just -- I just throw that 7 out there. (Tebbetts) I'd be happy to take that back to our 8 9 policies group. 10 Okay. With respect to the non-wire solution 11 analysis, is there going to be a hierarchy on 12 what benefits are most important? Is it energy costs? Is it avoided transmission costs? 13 14 just total cost? I was wondering if any thought 15 has gone into that? 16 (Tebbetts) With regards to hierarchy, I think 17 what we need to do is look at the analysis, and 18 determine what costs and benefits we have for 19 those projects. There very well could be that 20 we're looking at an area where reliability is 21 worse than other areas. And, so, for example, maybe reliability will be at the top of the list, 22 23 where maybe we wouldn't be able to provide much

energy efficiency programs to those customers.

24

Right? So, maybe there's a capacity issue, and 1 2 that's number two. Or, maybe there's a big 3 capacity issue, and that will be number one, but 4 we can provide a lot of energy efficiency so 5 those businesses will stay in the area. So, 6 let's try to work on capacity through energy 7 efficiency, so now that kind of goes lower on the 8 list, and reliability goes higher on the list. So, I think it's going to depend on 9 10 what we're looking at for opportunities for the 11 customers in the area, and also what we're 12 looking for the major issues driving the non-wire 13 solutions. I don't think there is a structure 14 that we could implement for every single one that 15 would be same. It's going to be case-by-case. 16 And how many candidates would be on an ideal 17 list? Two? Three? Four? And I'm guessing, in 18 most cases, probably the most logical one would 19 jump off the page. Does that sound fair? 20 (Tebbetts) That sounds fair, yes. I don't 21 know -- it's going to depend on the location 22 again. We do have a location that we'd look at, 23 a few locations. So, there could be two, there could be three. We don't have a very large 24

```
service territory. But we do believe that we do
 1
 2
         have candidates already that we're going to be
 3
         looking at, and also discussing, as Mr. Sheehan
 4
         noted, in our technical session after this
 5
         hearing.
 6
         And would you imagine that you'd probably try to
 7
         stagger locations, and go from the Upper Valley
 8
         to Rockingham?
 9
         (Tebbetts) Yes. That is our plan, to look at the
10
         different service areas, to determine if there
11
         are availability of a non-wire solution, and not
12
         just look at one service area with multiple
13
         solutions.
14
         Oh, no, I understand that. I guess my thinking
15
         was, could you see a situation where, in four
16
         straight years, you've done something in Salem,
17
         and not anything in the Upper Valley?
18
         (Tebbetts) Oh. I guess that's possible. If a
    Α
19
         candidate ranks highest, then, yes, that's
20
         possible.
21
                   CMSR. GIAIMO: All right. Thank you.
22
         I appreciate it. That's all the questions I
23
         have.
24
                   CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN:
                                        Okay.
```

BY CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN:

- I just have a question about the timeline for the NWS process and analysis. It says that, "In the event the Company cannot complete this detailed analysis prior to the filing in 2021." Can you just tell me more about the process and whether you think you realistically will have this done by the time of that filing, and this is more of a caveat in case something comes up, or do you think it's unlikely we'll have it done?
- A (Tebbetts) So, I think what we're looking at is -- well, the Settlement Agreement, certainly, we just filed it, and so there was some time that we looked at. We have about six months before our filing is due, and a lot of work. We have already started looking at the pieces through the legislative side of it, because certainly that is set in stone in what we need to provide.

But the issue becomes the working with parties. So, we need to identify our locations. We need to work with those Parties in the Settlement here, to show them why we want -- why we believe, you know, these are the projects that rank to be part of the solutions, and then

```
determine which ones is the most feasible.
 1
 2
         that's just going to take some time.
 3
                   So, the idea behind this was, rather
 4
         than rush an analysis, to allow us time to work
 5
         with the Parties, to allow us time to really have
 6
         a robust analysis to file. And it really helps
 7
         us on the back end, when we go to file all of
 8
         this, to, you know, avoid lengthy data requests
 9
         and things like that. We want to provide
10
         everything up front, if we can.
11
                   So, the idea behind having an extra six
12
         months really was to allow for the fact that we
13
         only have six months until it's due right now,
14
         and work to do with the Parties.
15
                   CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. Thank you.
16
         That's my only question.
17
                   Mr. Buckley, do you have any
18
         redirect?
19
                   MR. BUCKLEY: No redirect from Staff.
20
                   CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. Mr. Sheehan.
21
                   MR. SHEEHAN: I have none either.
22
         Thank you.
23
                   CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. All right.
24
         So, consistent with the Parties' agreement, and
```

without objection, we'll strike ID on Exhibits 1, 2, 3, and admit them as full exhibits.

Would the parties like to have closing arguments? Starting with Ms. Shute.

MS. SHUTE: Thank you, Chairwoman Martin.

The OCA supports the Settlement

Agreement. I will -- and we look forward to

working with the Company to enhance its next

LCIRP filing.

I will note, to Commissioner Giaimo's question, part of the inclusion of the issues to discuss over the next area of time is in part to address how to -- the process of how projects are selected and how things are weighed, and to really try to take a look at how that's done, compared to typical solutions, including the ability to create hybrids, and the ability to look at different risk profiles and assess those types of issues.

So, we look forward to working with the Company and support the Settlement Agreement.

Thank you.

CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: All right. Thank

1.3

2.1

2.2

you. Mr. Buckley.

2.

1.3

2.2

MR. BUCKLEY: Thank you, Madam Chair.

Staff is confident that the Settlement of the Parties appropriately resolves all of the issues in this case. That the approval of that Settlement would be in the public interest, expects that said approval would lead to just and reasonable rates, and recommends its approval by the Commission.

Thank you.

CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. Thank you.

And Mr. Sheehan.

MR. SHEEHAN: Thank you.

I echo what counsel have already said.

And underscore that this Settlement does two things: It acknowledges compliance with the order requiring this interim filing, and it also has set the stage for the next filing. There are several steps, as we discussed this morning, that will help us better focus what's going to be filed in January. And, as we said, we're starting this afternoon.

So, it does accomplish two things, which all Parties have agreed is the right way to

```
go here. So, we appreciate their cooperation,
 1
         and ask the Commission to approve the Settlement.
 2
                    Thank you.
 3
                    CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. Thank you,
 4
         everyone. We'll take the matter under
 5
 6
          advisement. And we are adjourned. Have a great
 7
         day.
                    (Whereupon the hearing was adjourned
 8
                    at 10:51 a.m.)
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
```